Abstract

Phenological studies are important for understanding the influence of weather dynamics on vegetative growth, flowering and fruiting on mango. BBCH (Biologische Bundesantalt, Bundessortenamt and Chemische Industrie) scale was used for data recording and to assess utility of the scale in mango phenological studies. Phenological stages of the mango were recorded at weekly intervals on 60 shoots of cultivar Totapuri at five diverse locations for testing usefulness of scale under different diverse ecologies and data from one location, i.e., Lucknow (26°54′N and 80°48′E) was used for analysis. Existing BBCH scale was modified on the basis of data recorded for mango in which seven out of 10 principal stages were used, starting with bud development (stage 0) and ending with maturity of fruit (stage 8). Three digit scale was used for inclusion of the mesostages between the principal and secondary growth stages. Highly recurring flowering phenophases were 511 (18%), 513 (20%) recorded in standard week 9 and 517 (45%) in standard week 11 (March). Other important phenophases, 619 (38%) and 709 (10%) occurred during standard weeks 13 and 22 to 23, respectively. A high degree of variation in shoots representing principal growth stages viz., vegetative bud, leaf and shoot development was observed due to simultaneous transition of the stages during standard week 33 to 42 and 4 to 24. Limitations of existing BBCH scale and comprehended modifications have been proposed and discussed. The study revealed that the extended BBCH-scale for mango can be widely used because of its utility in describing all phenophases pertaining to bud, shoot, leaf, panicle and fruit development and indicated the incisive growth pattern of the shoots and seasonal variation. This is the first report on quantitative analysis of mango phenological data using BBCH scale.
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Introduction

Phenological studies aim on the periodicity in the life-cycle events of plants and are influenced by seasonal variations owing to weather factors mainly temperature and precipitation. In fact, changes in the time of phenophases of fruit trees are of great economical importance because they have direct impact on factors influencing final fruit yield (Kushwaha and Singh, 2008). Phenological studies in mango are also important for planned management of orchards and altering mango growers against environmental vagaries. It is also useful for understanding the phenological impacts during the flowering phase and the subjacent effects on other phenological events. Researchers have emphasized significant role of these studies in highlighting common trends in mango phenology along with regional and varietal differences in response to recent climatic changes (Schnell and Knight, 1998) and understanding the differential trends in main and off-season varieties.

Till eighties, there was no homogeneous coding method to describe developmental stages of the major cultivated plants and phenological stages were usually characterized using a combinations of letter and numbers. Fleckinger (1948) evolved a scale for fruit trees which does not fulfil the requirements of a growth scale and mainly describe inflorescence and not the

bud, leaf and shoot development. Another scale proposed by Aubert and Lossois (1972) focused only on shoot and panicle development. These scales do not define the complete flowering and fruit development cycles by using numerical codes. Zadoks et al. (1974) published the first decimal code to standardize the description of homologous developmental stages of different crops using standard codes. A further development in phenology recording methodology is BBCH-scale (BBCH = Biologische Bundesantalt, Bundessortenamt und Chemische Industrie) proposed by Bleiholder et al. (1989) and the extended BBCH-scale proposed by Hack et al. (1992). The advantage of the BBCH scale is its simplicity and ease of use for annual, biennial and perennial plants and it also describes both the vegetative and reproductive stages of plant growth. Since then, BBCH-scale has been widely accepted for use in cereals, colza, bean and sunflower (Lancashire et al., 1991), beet (Meier et al., 1993) and potato (Hack et al., 1993). Thereafter, some researchers made BBCH based scales for pome and stone fruit trees (Meier et al., 1994), grapevine (Lorenz et al., 1994), various vegetables (Feller et al., 1995a; 1995b) and fruit crops, viz., pomegranate (Melgarejo et al., 1997), citrus (Augusti et al., 1997), loquat (Martinez-Calvo et al., 1999), olive (Sanz-Cortés et al., 2002) and persimmon (Garcia Carbonell et al., 2002). A notable effort was made to study the utility of BBCH scale for mango phenology (Hernández et al., 2010).
Mango, a fruit tree species grown in both subtropics and tropics, demonstrates substantial seasonality in flowering, fruiting, leaf-flushing events (Parrado-Rosselli et al., 2006) and follows a phenological cycles of 12 months in subtropical regions. However, two or more peaks in phenophases are possible in the tropics because the sun passes overhead twice each year, influencing insolation rates and weather patterns (Anderson et al., 2005). Phenology has emerged as an important integrative measure to assess the impact of climate change on horticultural crops like mango, which is substantially sensitive to weather dynamics. Keeping above facts in view, the phenological studies were conducted to assess BBCH-scale for mango and also demonstrate the information which can be generated from data collected with application of the scale.

Materials and methods

Location and plant material: Phenological data was collected at five diverse eco-geographical locations viz., Lucknow (subtropics, hot subhumid (dry) eco-region), Bangalore (Central Karnataka Plateau, hot moist semi-arid), Kanyakumari (Hot subhumid to semi-arid eco-region), Medak (Hot semi-arid ecoregion) and Dapoli (West coast Ghat region). Multilocational information was collected for accessing the suitability of scale for describing phenological pattern under diverse mango growing conditions. Data collected at Lucknow, located at 26°54' N and 80°48' E was used for analysis and interpretation. Totapuri, being a variety with wider adaptability, was used for data collection on 25 years old trees (3 trees) at weekly intervals on 60 shoots tree⁻¹ (15 shoots tagged in each direction).

Scale: Developmental stages and morphological characteristics were recorded as per BBCH scale. Representative shoot parts were coded as per primary and secondary phenological growth stages and photographed for recorded information. Due to inclusion of the mesostages between the principal and secondary growth stages, three digit scale was used to study the mango phenology.

The existing BBCH scale (Hernández et al., 2010) was used for the entire developmental cycle of mango and was subdivided into seven clearly recognisable and distinguishable principal growth stages out of 10 of general BBCH scale. Each principal growth stage was classified in to secondary stages which described points in time or shorter developmental intervals in the major growth stage. This scale started with bud development (stage 0) and terminated at maturity of fruit (stage 8). The secondary stages were numbered 0 to 9 that described related percentile stages of growth. Several mesostages (1 to n) were used to describe the different vegetative and floral flushes during season for coding bud, leaf and shoot development. A list of phenological stages of mango, made in ascending order by sorting codes into numerical order, is presented in Table 1. A photo guide (Fig. 1) with codes was developed and used for uniform data recording at different locations.

Among seven principal growth stages, three principal growth stages were assigned to vegetative growth, which described the bud development (stage 0), leaf development on shoots (stage 1) and shoot elongation (stage 3), the later being shared with inflorescence emergence and flower development (stage 5). Flowering (stage 6), fruit growth (stage 7), fruit maturity (stage 8) completed the scale. First digit of the code depicts the principal growth stage ranging from 0 to 9.

The second digit of the scale was used for recognizing the mesostages occurred between the principal and secondary growth stages, ranged from 0 to n. The code was given on the basis of number of vegetative flushes and flowering occurred during the year. Generally, two mesostages (1, 2) were used for coding. However, it can be more than two according to the number of flushes found in the region or multiple bearing varieties. The use of codes for mesostages made the differentiation possible between the principal and secondary vegetative and flowering growth stages. The third digit of the codes were the numerical values from 0 to 9, which was related to per cent growth of buds, leaves, shoots, flower buds, panicle and fruit development.

Results and discussion

A large set of phenological data collected on Totapuri trees was difficult to handle manually for assessing the suitability of BBCH scale and thus it was subjected to a computer program specially developed for extracting information from the collected data matrix. The phenological behaviour of each selected shoot recorded on Totapuri was summarized on the basis of per cent shoot under particular stage at a specific time based on total number of selected shoots. BBCH scale based data was depicted in the form of line graph for identifying phenological stages with highest score during different standard weeks/months (Fig. 2a, 2b). The graph indicates changes occurring among different phenophases for the identified shoots during particular period and can identify the occurrence of the most frequent stage. The occurrence of the phenophases can be interpreted with reference to prevailing temperature and rainfall (Fig. 2c). Analysed data presented in the Fig. 2 depicts significant transition pattern of phenophases in mango.

Phenological data collated from first week of August 2010 (33) to last week of June 2011 (24) at Lucknow was used for analysis and graphical depiction. During August (33-36 standard week) highest percentage of shoots was of stage 010 and percentage was static from standard week 46 to 51 (November to December) indicating growth cessation period. From standard week 4 (Jan. last week), the frequency of 010 declined and started increasing from week 13, with a conspicuous peak during May indicating cyclic nature of mango growth. The decline in the percentage of shoots with stage 010 indicated the transformation of the stage to other phenophases. The high degree of variation in percentage of shoots at principal growth stages viz., vegetative bud, leaf and shoot development were observed due to simultaneous transition of these stages during standard week 33 to 42 (Aug. to last week of Oct) and 4 to 24 (Jan. end to June end). The longer and shorter duration between 010 and 319 during different parts of the year indicated that slow and rapid growth period can be detected by using the scale.

The stages indicating inflorescence growth were observed from standard week 3 (Jan.) and continued till standard week 12 (March end). Stage 511 (initiation of inflorescence) and 517 (light green to crimson petal tips visible in some flowers) were recorded from standard week 3 to 11 and 10 to 12, respectively. This indicate the less time required for panicle growth by late emerging panicles. Stage 619 (fruit set) was observed between
Fig. 1. Depiction of important phenophases for mango with corresponding codes. All phenophase photographs are not of cv. Totapuri and were found useful in identifying phenophases of *Mangifera indica* varieties.
Table 1. Extended BBCH scale used for mango (Hernández Delgado et al., 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Growth Stage</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>0 Bud development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First vegetative flush</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>Dormancy: leaf buds are closed and covered with green or brownish scales (Aubert and Lossois: vegetative stage A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>011</td>
<td>Beginning of leaf bud swelling: bud scales begin to separate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>019</td>
<td>Bud break: light green to dark coppery tan leaf tips visible 5 to 10 mm above bud scales (Aubert and Lossois: vegetative stage B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second vegetative flush</td>
<td>020</td>
<td>Dormancy: leaf buds are closed and covered with green or brownish scales (Aubert and Lossois: vegetative stage A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>021</td>
<td>Beginning of leaf bud swelling: bud scales begin to separate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>023</td>
<td>End of leaf bud swelling: scales completely separated, light green buds emerged (Aubert and Lossois: vegetative stage B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>027</td>
<td>Beginning of bud break: light green to dark coppery tan leaf tips just visible (Aubert and Lossois: vegetative stage C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>029</td>
<td>Bud break: light green to dark coppery tan leaf tips visible 5 to 10 mm above bud scales (Aubert and Lossois: vegetative stage D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 Leaf development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First vegetative flush</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Leaf tips more than 10 mm above bud scales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
<td>First leaf unfolded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>115</td>
<td>More leaves unfolded: petioles visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
<td>All leaves completely unfolded and expanded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second vegetative flush</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Leaf tips more than 10 mm above bud scales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>121</td>
<td>First leaf unfolded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>More leaves unfolded: petioles visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
<td>All leaves completely unfolded and expanded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 Shoot development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First vegetative flush</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>Beginning of shoot growth: axes of developing shoots visible, about 10% of final length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>312</td>
<td>Shoots about 20% of final length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>315</td>
<td>Shoots about 50% of final length (Aubert and Lossois: vegetative stage A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>317</td>
<td>Shoots about 70% of final length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>319</td>
<td>Shoots about 90% of final length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second vegetative flush</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>Second vegetative flush beginning of shoot growth: axes of developing shoots visible, about 10% of final length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>322</td>
<td>Shoots about 20% of final length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>325</td>
<td>Shoots about 50% of final length (Aubert and Lossois: vegetative stage E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>327</td>
<td>Shoots about 70% of final length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>329</td>
<td>Shoots about 90% of final length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 Inflorescence emergence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal flowering</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>Buds closed and covered with green or brownish scales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>511</td>
<td>Beginning of bud swelling: scales begin to separate (Aubert and Lossois: flowering stage A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>513</td>
<td>Bud burst: first floral primordial just visible, panicle development begins (Aubert and Lossois: flowering stage B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>514</td>
<td>Panicle axis begins to elongate, leaves are visible in mixed panicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 Flowering</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal flowering</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>First flower open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>611</td>
<td>Beginning of flowering: 10% of panicle flowers open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>613</td>
<td>Early flowering: 30% of panicle flowers open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>615</td>
<td>Full flowering: more than 50% of panicle flowers open (Aubert and Lossois: flowering stage E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>617</td>
<td>Flower fading: majority of petals fallen or dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>619</td>
<td>End of flowering: all petals fallen or dry, fruit set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
<td>First flowers open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second flowering</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>Beginning of flowering: 10% of panicle flowers open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>623</td>
<td>Early flowering: 30% of panicle flowers open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>625</td>
<td>Full flowering: more than 50% of panicle flowers open (Aubert and Lossois: flowering stage E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>627</td>
<td>Flower fading: majority of petals fallen or dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>629</td>
<td>End of flowering: all petals fallen or dry, fruit set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>630</td>
<td>Barren panicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>631</td>
<td>Panicle completely dried or dropped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7 Fruit development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main season fruit development</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>Fruits at 10% of final size, styles still visible. Beginning of physiological fruit drop (Aubert and Lossois: fruit set stage A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>703</td>
<td>Fruits at 30% of final size, end of physiological fruit drop. (Aubert and Lossois: fruit set stage B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>705</td>
<td>Fruits at 50% of final size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>709</td>
<td>Fruits at standard cultivar size, shoulders fully developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8 Maturity of fruit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main season fruit maturity</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Physiological maturity: fruit fully developed, pulp creamy green in colour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>801</td>
<td>Beginning of skin colour change (colour break stage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>809</td>
<td>Fruit colour fully developed. Fruit ripe for consumption, with correct firmness and typical taste</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
standard week 12 and 16. The stages for fruit development (701 to 709) were recorded during standard weeks 16 to 24. The stage 911 in standard weeks 12 to 16 indicated the existence of barren panicles due to drying or dropping of flowers from the panicles, while in later standard weeks, such as, from week 17 and onwards, stage 911 represented the barren panicle after fruit drop. These are few examples of interpretation of BBCH scale data. A perusal of analysed data also revealed that the existing BBCH scale is suitable for studying the mango phenology with few exceptional aspects. Thus, modifications in the existing scale (Hernández et al., 2011) are presented (Table 2) to meet out the missing aspects of the basic principles of BBCH scale (Hack et al., 1992).

As a matter of fact, researchers developed their own scale (Aubert and Lossois, 1972), used older non-uniform scales or adopted BBCH scale (Hernandez Delgado et al., 2011) to study mango phenology. Nevertheless, this has led to the interpretation of scales in different ways, leading to inconsistency in comparing research results. The proposed scale attempts to unify the description for mango and make it possible to address difficulties in interpretation of other researches related with specific phenophase sampling. General interpretation of collected data using the scale can also form the basis for the development of future scales specific to tropical and subtropical fruit tree crops including mango.

Limitations of existing BBCH scale: Based on the data collected from diverse mango growing locations and literature on the application of BBCH scale for mango (Hernandez Delgado et al., 2011), following questions were encountered which require attention of researchers for improvement in scale or development of methodology for providing precise description of the major phenophases in mango. At initial panicle or shoot development stage, the per cent growth of vegetative shoots (311 to 315), flowering (611 to 615) or fruit development stage (701 to 705) can not be assigned/predicted precisely, thus the assumptions made at the time of data recording on the basis of existing BBCH scale for mango may make it erroneous. The actual observation depends on the degree of precision employed by the user. Sometimes, more than one vegetative bud emerge as a result of further growth in previous year bearing shoots, identified as stage 916. Some of the stages (drying of shoots) seen in the field conditions necessitate the selection and tagging of new shoot for data collection and data collected on dried shoot may become irrelevant. Some of the stages (010 and 510) appear morphologically same but their further developments are totally different. The codes 630 and 631 indicating the barren and completely dried/dropped panicle, respectively, is not well defined and accurate according to the general BBCH scale.

Modifications in existing scale: Although the existing extended BBCH–scale for mango can be used widely without any modification because it describes developmental stages of bud, shoot, leaf and fruit development, even inflorescence and panicle development. Yet some of the modifications are suggested to make it more useful for phenological studies. Additional characteristics of the proposed scale for mango are given in Table 2.

In the context of phenology related studies, mango has specific vulnerability, such as perennial nature, complex flowering, warming impacts on floral bud phenology (Schnell and Knight, 1998). Numerous data on phenology, collected (in past) in contrasting climatic conditions (location, period) highlighting significant deviations in dates of different phenological stages may not be comparable without adopting uniform phenological data recording scale/methodology. The current modified BBCH scale is easy to use for studying different growth stages of mango and includes all the subtle details of the mango growth and development in one scale. It is uniform, simple to use and can be used for computer aided analysis. Furthermore, modified BBCH-scale also distinctly separates various vegetative flushes as well as the terminal and axillary flowering which are important for planning mango orchard management practices.

Keeping existing BBCH scale in view, the proposed modified BBCH scale is further a step forward in achieving uniformity of growth stage descriptions and can be adopted widely for mango. Based on the requirements for detailed phenological studies on mango, refinement of the modified scale may further pave way for the development of more robust scale. Intensive data collection from diverse ecologies and its analysis will lead to further refinement. However, in absence of such advancements, the present endeavour will help in harmonious phenological data recording at various location and data analysis.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fruit development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main season fruit development</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>Styles still visible, beginning of physiological fruit drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>713</td>
<td>End of physiological fruit drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>715</td>
<td>Fruit at 50 % of final size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>719</td>
<td>Fruit at standard cultivar size, shoulders fully developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second season fruit development</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>Styles still visible, beginning of physiological fruit drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>723</td>
<td>End of physiological fruit drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>725</td>
<td>Fruit at 50 % of final size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>729</td>
<td>Fruit at standard cultivar size, shoulders fully developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity of fruit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main season fruit development</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>Physiological maturity: fruit fully developed, flesh creamy green in colour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>811</td>
<td>Beginning of skin colour change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>819</td>
<td>Fruit colour fully developed. Fruit ripe for consumption, with correct firmness and typical taste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second season fruit development</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>Physiological maturity: fruit fully developed, flesh creamy green in colour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>821</td>
<td>Beginning of skin colour change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>829</td>
<td>Fruit colour fully developed. Fruit ripe for consumption, with correct firmness and typical taste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senescence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal vegetative flush/flowering</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>Barren panicle. Fruit dropped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second vegetative flush/flowering</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>Dried shoots or dried/dropped-off panicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>921</td>
<td>Barren panicle. Fruit dropped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>926</td>
<td>Dried shoots or dried/dropped-off panicle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Additions to the existing BBCH scale for mango

We thank Dr. H. Ravishankar, Director, CISH, Lucknow and Dr. C.P.A. Iyer, Chairman, Consortium Advisory Committee for encouragement during study. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from NAIP, ICAR, New Delhi and thank Dr. S. Kochhar, National Coordinator and Dr. Bengali Baboo, National Director, NAIP for their encouragement; Dr. P.M. Hernández Delgado and Dr. V. Galán Saúco Instituto Canario.

We thank Dr. H. Ravishankar, Director, CISH, Lucknow and Dr. C.P.A. Iyer, Chairman, Consortium Advisory Committee for encouragement during study. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from NAIP, ICAR, New Delhi and thank Dr. S. Kochhar, National Coordinator and Dr. Bengali Baboo, National Director, NAIP for their encouragement; Dr. P.M. Hernández Delgado and Dr. V. Galán Saúco Instituto Canario.

We thank Dr. H. Ravishankar, Director, CISH, Lucknow and Dr. C.P.A. Iyer, Chairman, Consortium Advisory Committee for encouragement during study. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from NAIP, ICAR, New Delhi and thank Dr. S. Kochhar, National Coordinator and Dr. Bengali Baboo, National Director, NAIP for their encouragement; Dr. P.M. Hernández Delgado and Dr. V. Galán Saúco Instituto Canario.

We thank Dr. H. Ravishankar, Director, CISH, Lucknow and Dr. C.P.A. Iyer, Chairman, Consortium Advisory Committee for encouragement during study. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from NAIP, ICAR, New Delhi and thank Dr. S. Kochhar, National Coordinator and Dr. Bengali Baboo, National Director, NAIP for their encouragement; Dr. P.M. Hernández Delgado and Dr. V. Galán Saúco Instituto Canario.
de Investigaciones Agrarias, Ctra, de El Boquerón, Valle Guerra, Tenerife, Spain for sharing published information on mango phenology.

References


Hernández Delgado, P.M., M. Agustí, M. Aranguren, D. Fernandez Galvan, V. Galan Sauco and C. Reig, 2010. Phenological development stages of mango (Mangifera indica L.) according to the BBCH scale. In: 9th International Mango Symposium held during 8-12 April, Sanya, Hainan Island, China, 72.


Received: October, 2011; Revised: November, 2011; Accepted: December, 2011