

Influence of liquid pollination technique on fruit yield and physicochemical characteristics of date palm cultivars Khadrawy and Zahidi

Muhammad Munir^{1,2*}

¹Date Palm Research Center of Excellence, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia. ²Frontier Agriculture, SOYL Precision Crop Production Division, Newbury, United Kingdom. *E-mail: mmunir@kfu.edu.sa.

Abstract

Present study was designed to evaluate the response of date palm cultivars Khadrawy and Zahidi to varied concentrations of pollen grains liquid suspension (1, 2, 3 and 4 g L⁻¹). The experiment was arranged in two-factorial randomized complete block design. Results of the study revealed that the pollen application of 4 g L⁻¹ significantly increased fruit set (86 %), fruit weight (11.27 g), fruit length (38.27 mm), fruit width (23.70 mm), fruit thickness (18.90 mm), fruit geometric diameter (24.93 mm), fruit arithmetic diameter (26.96 mm), fruit surface area (1953.93 mm²), fruit volume (11.29 cc), yield per palm (23.97 kg), seed length (2.40 cm), pulp weight (9.83 g), pulp:seed ratio (6.88) and moisture content (22.72 %). However, fruit drop parameter was minimal (37 %) in that treatment as compared to others. All other parameters (fruit sphericity, seed weight, seed diameter, percent of pulp, percent of seed, total soluble solids, total sugars, reducing sugars and non-reducing sugars) were statistically not different. However, application of 3 g L⁻¹ pollen grains liquid suspension treatment was closely followed by 4 g L⁻¹ pollen grain application regarding all these attributes. Comparison between the date palm cultivars, Khadrawy was observed superior than Zahidi regarding aforesaid parameters. The interaction of both factors showed positive impact of 4 g L⁻¹ pollen suspension concentration when applied to cultivar Khadrawy, which was closely followed by 3 g L⁻¹ application. It is therefore, concluded from the present research that although the application of 4 g L⁻¹ pollen suspension treatment gave the best results in both cultivars, application of 3 g L⁻¹ pollen suspension can also be practiced at a minimal compromise on date palm yield and quality for both cultivars.

Key words: Date palm, *Phoenix dactylifera* L., pollination, pollen grains suspension, fruit yield, fruit quality

Introduction

Date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) is a unisexual (dioecious) species, which means male (staminate) and female (pistillate) flowers are borne on separate individual trees. Male flowers produce pollen grains, which are applied to fruit buds on the female palms, the process is known as pollination (Bekheet and Hanafy, 2011). Pollen tube formation initiates after pollen grain settles on the stigma. The two synergid cells attract pollen tube to grow down the length of the style towards the ovule (egg cell) for fertilization to form seed (Higashiyama *et al.*, 2001). In order to achieve a successful fertilization, the tip growth of the pollen tube, is precisely guided by female cues (Higashiyama and Takeuchi, 2015). Several female-secreted peptides have been identified as species-specific attractants that directly control the direction of pollen tube growth (Okuda *et al.*, 2009; Márton *et al.*, 2012; Takeuchi and Higashiyama, 2012). In *Arabidopsis*, the pollen tubes precisely respond to the guidance signal from its own species is the tip-localized pollen-specific receptor-like kinase 6 with an extracellular leucine-rich repeat domain, which is an essential receptor for sensing of the LURE1 attractant peptide, and is important for ovule targeting in the pistil (Takeuchi and Higashiyama, 2016). The molecular mechanism of pollination and fertilization indicated the importance of fruit setting and yield attributes, particularly for a dioecious species like date palm. Therefore, a numbers of date

palm pollination methods have been adopted to achieve maximum benefits regarding fruit set and ultimately the yield such as male strands (spikes) placement method, pollen dusting method, pollen suspension method *etc.* (Haffar *et al.*, 1997; Hajian, 2005; El-Dengawy, 2017). These pollination methods have been approved by the date palm growers according to their own experience and pollen source availability. However, adopting an appropriate and improved pollination method could save pollen grains and enhance fruit yield (Awad, 2010).

Natural pollination by wind and bees is characterised in regions where date palm is extensively grown in wilds through 100 % seeds with about 50 % male population. However, that practice is not economical and leads to the development of parthenocarpic fruits without any commercial value (Zaid and de Wet, 1999; Johnson *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, progressive date palm growers adopt artificial pollination techniques. The most common method of date palm pollination is the placement of four to ten (depends on the size of female inflorescence) male flower strands between the strands of the female inflorescence (Zaid and de Wet, 1999). However, it is laborious, expensive and require large number of male strands, which sometime are not available particularly for early flowering date palm cultivars. Moreover, the female inflorescence emerged and opened at different times on same palm, which required lot of manpower if such method is practiced (Dowson, 1982). Another pollination technique is the dusting of

dried pollen grains on female inflorescence. In this technique, pollens are either applied onto walnut sized cotton balls and around two cotton balls are placed between the female inflorescence or they are mixed with inert filler substances (pollen/filler ratio, 1:4) and brushed or dusted by hand or using mechanical sprayer to the female inflorescence. The cotton balls method is labour-intensive and expensive while the mechanical method is economically feasible and saves time. However, a high rate of parthenocarpic fruits could occur when this technique is used (Nixon and Carpenter, 1978; Hajian, 2005).

As an alternative method, pollen grain liquid suspension spray is recommended, which is more practical and requires low labour-cost in the date palm production (Awad, 2010). Therefore, several studies have been conducted to determine the beneficial effect of liquid spray pollination methods for many fruits such as peach (Mizuno *et al.*, 2002), kiwifruit (Hopping and Simpson, 1982; Yano *et al.*, 2007; Barnett *et al.*, 2017), Japanese pear (Sakamoto *et al.*, 2009). Abdalla *et al.* (2011) observed reduction in fruit set percentage, fruit retention and bunch weight of date palm *cv.* Zaghloul when the pollen grains suspension concentration was reduced and concluded that the application of pollen grains suspension containing 1.5 g L⁻¹ of pollens plus either 2 g L⁻¹ ascorbic acid or 0.2 g L⁻¹ boric acid mixed with 10 % Vinasse increased the yield and fruit quality. However, Al-Wasfy (2014) sprayed mixture of pollen suspension (4 g L⁻¹ pollens + 2 mL treacle + 2 g L⁻¹ ascorbic acid + 1 g L⁻¹ boric acid) after two days of female inflorescence cracking that promoted yield and fruit quality of Zaghloul date palms. For promoting production of Saidy date palms, Ahmed (2014) recommended pollen-water suspension application containing 1.25 g L⁻¹ pollens plus 5 g L⁻¹ starch. However, Soliman *et al.* (2017) recorded highest fruit yield and quality in Segae dates when the palms were sprayed with 2 g L⁻¹ pollens mixed with 3 g L⁻¹ sugar in a suspension culture. Keeping in view the practical importance of liquid pollen application method, present study was planned to evaluate the effect of different concentrations of pollen grains water suspension spray on fruit set, yield and fruit quality of two date palm cultivars Khadrawy and Zahidi under arid ecological conditions.

Materials and methods

During 2017 and 2018 year growing seasons, twelve year old date palm cultivars Khadrawy and Zahidi were selected in a private orchard (Latitude 26° 6' 26.6472" N and Longitude 68° 16' 28.6824" E), to study the effects of varied pollen grains levels diluted in water on yield and physicochemical attributes. Twenty-four date palm trees of both cultivars (twelve palms for each cultivar) having uniform vigour and size were selected for the study. The soil of the orchard was sandy loam. Five spathes of similar size, emerged and opened on same date, remained on each palm and the rest (early, late and small-sized spathes) were removed. Pollen grains were collected from the same named male cultivars in order to avoid pollen incompatibility problem. Pollen viability of hundred fresh pollen grains was determined by staining with acetocarmine (Moreira and Gurgel, 1941). Twelve date

palm trees of each cultivar were divided into four treatments and each treatment had five replicates. Required pollen concentrations (1, 2, 3 and 4 g) were diluted in one-liter water containing 2 g of corn-starch, which is used as an adhesive. The experiment was arranged on two-way Factorial Randomized Complete Block Design as below:

	Factor-A Pollen grain concentrations	Factor-B Date palm cultivars
T ₁	One gram pollen grains per liter water	Khadrawy and Zahidi
T ₂	Two grams pollen grains per liter water	Khadrawy and Zahidi
T ₃	Three grams pollen grains per liter water	Khadrawy and Zahidi
T ₄	Four grams pollen grains per liter water	Khadrawy and Zahidi

Pollen grains suspension treatments were applied to uniform female spathes of each cultivar (100 mL suspension per spathe) by manual handheld pressure pump sprayer (2 L capacity, made of HomeDecision) at 11am morning when the ambient temperature was around 23 °C. This practice was repeated after three days to ensure maximum fruit set. After pollination, the spathes were covered with brown paper bags to avoid natural pollination by wind or insects, which were removed after fruit set. Standard doses of straight fertilizers (Urea, SSP, K₂SO₄) per palm were applied in one-meter band ring around the stem to both cultivars *i.e.* 920 g N, 500 g P₂O₅ and 500 g K₂O (Munir *et al.*, 1992; Munir *et al.*, 1993). All other cultural practices were carried out accordingly.

Parameters studied were recorded according to the standard A.O.A.C. (2005) procedures for fruit set, fruit drop, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, fruit thickness, fruit geometric mean diameter, fruit arithmetic mean diameter, fruit sphericity, fruit surface area, fruit volume, yield per palm, seed weight, seed length, seed diameter, pulp weight, percent of pulp, percent of seed, pulp:seed ratio, moisture content, total soluble solids, total sugars, reducing sugars and non-reducing sugars. The recorded data were analysed statistically using GenStat version 18 (VSN International Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, UK) software and the significant means were separated by the Duncan Multiple Range Test using the same program.

Results

Data in Table 1 revealed that there was a significant ($P \leq 0.05$) difference among means of different treatments of pollen grain (1, 2, 3 and 4 g L⁻¹) regarding fruit set, fruit drop, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, fruit thickness, fruit geometric diameter, fruit arithmetic diameter, fruit surface area, fruit volume and yield per palm. Maximum fruit set (86 %), fruit weight (11.27 g), fruit length (38.28 mm), fruit width (23.70 mm), fruit thickness (18.90 mm), fruit geometric diameter (24.93 mm), fruit arithmetic diameter (26.96 mm), fruit surface area (1953.93 mm²), fruit volume (11.29 cc) and yield per palm (23.97 kg) was recorded when pollen grains were applied @ 4 g L⁻¹, whereas these attributes were least when pollen grains were applied @ 1 g L⁻¹. Fruit sphericity parameter was non-significant statistically, whereas maximum fruit drop (53.50 %) was recorded when pollen grains were applied @ 1 g L⁻¹. Similarly, Table 2 show a statistically significant ($P \leq 0.05$) difference among means of different concentrations of pollen grains (1, 2, 3 and 4 g L⁻¹) regarding seed length, pulp weight, percent pulp and seed, pulp:seed ratio and moisture content. Maximum seed length (2.40 cm), pulp weight (9.83 g, statistically at par with PG 3 g L⁻¹ treatment *i.e.* 9.95 g), percent pulp (87.17 %), pulp:seed ratio (6.88, statistically at par with PG 3 g L⁻¹ treatment *i.e.* 7.03) and moisture content (22.72 %) was recorded when pollen grains were applied @ 4

Table 1. Effects of different concentrations of pollen grains liquid application on fruit set, fruit drop, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, fruit thickness, fruit geometric mean diameter, fruit arithmetic mean diameter, fruit sphericity, fruit surface area, fruit volume, and yield per palm of date palm cultivars Khadrawy and Zahidi

Treatments	Fruit set (%)	Fruit drop (%)	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit length (mm)	Fruit width (mm)	Fruit thickness (mm)	Fruit geometric diameter (mm)	Fruit arithmetic diameter (mm)	Fruit sphericity (mm ²)	Fruit surface area (mm ²)	Fruit volume (cc)	Yield per palm (kg)
A. Pollen grain												
PG 1 g	74.67 ^c	53.50 ^a	8.25 ^b	31.87 ^c	19.47 ^b	15.22 ^b	20.48 ^b	22.18 ^b	0.64 ^a	1321.65 ^b	9.14 ^b	18.34 ^b
PG 2 g	79.67 ^{bc}	46.83 ^b	9.12 ^b	32.90 ^{bc}	20.13 ^b	15.90 ^b	21.19 ^b	22.98 ^b	0.65 ^a	1413.67 ^b	9.77 ^b	20.25 ^{ab}
PG 3 g	84.50 ^{ab}	38.17 ^c	11.39 ^a	36.35 ^{ab}	23.40 ^a	18.33 ^a	24.15 ^a	26.03 ^a	0.67 ^a	1835.48 ^a	11.10 ^a	22.82 ^a
PG 4 g	86.00 ^a	37.00 ^c	11.27 ^a	38.27 ^a	23.70 ^a	18.90 ^a	24.93 ^a	26.96 ^a	0.65 ^a	1953.93 ^a	11.29 ^a	23.97 ^a
LSD _(5%)	5.45	6.65	0.91	4.04	1.72	1.63	1.18	1.36	0.068	169.20	1.10	4.28
B. Cultivars												
Khadrawy	82.92 ^a	42.25 ^a	10.56 ^a	36.49 ^a	21.73 ^a	17.40 ^a	23.19 ^a	25.21 ^a	0.64 ^a	1702.69 ^a	10.78 ^a	25.45 ^a
Zahidi	79.50 ^a	45.50 ^a	9.46 ^b	33.20 ^b	21.63 ^a	16.78 ^a	22.19 ^b	23.87 ^b	0.67 ^a	1559.68 ^b	9.86 ^b	17.24 ^b
LSD _(5%)	3.85	4.70	0.64	2.86	1.22	1.15	0.84	0.96	0.048	119.70	0.78	3.02
C. Pollen grain × Cultivars												
PG 1 g × Khadrawy	76.33 ^{bc}	51.33 ^{ab}	8.53 ^c	32.87 ^c	19.43 ^b	15.73 ^c	20.92 ^c	22.68 ^d	0.64 ^a	1375.60 ^c	9.34 ^{cd}	21.95 ^{bd}
PG 2 g × Khadrawy	81.67 ^{ab}	45.33 ^{bd}	9.20 ^{de}	34.07 ^{bc}	20.47 ^b	16.07 ^{bc}	21.59 ^c	23.53 ^{cd}	0.64 ^a	1463.78 ^c	10.26 ^{bd}	24.38 ^{ac}
PG 3 g × Khadrawy	85.67 ^a	37.00 ^{dc}	12.60 ^a	38.60 ^{ab}	23.23 ^a	18.57 ^a	24.67 ^{ab}	26.80 ^{ab}	0.65 ^a	1915.56 ^{ab}	11.60 ^{ab}	27.24 ^{ab}
PG 4 g × Khadrawy	88.00 ^a	35.33 ^c	11.90 ^{ab}	40.43 ^a	23.77 ^a	19.23 ^a	25.58 ^a	27.81 ^a	0.63 ^a	2055.83 ^a	11.94 ^a	28.21 ^a
PG 1 g × Zahidi	73.00 ^c	55.67 ^a	7.97 ^c	30.87 ^c	19.50 ^b	14.70 ^c	20.04 ^c	21.69 ^d	0.65 ^a	1267.70 ^c	8.93 ^d	14.73 ^c
PG 2 g × Zahidi	77.67 ^{bc}	48.33 ^{ac}	9.04 ^{de}	31.73 ^c	19.80 ^b	15.73 ^c	20.80 ^c	22.42 ^d	0.66 ^a	1363.57 ^c	9.27 ^{cd}	16.13 ^{dc}
PG 3 g × Zahidi	83.33 ^{ab}	39.33 ^{ce}	10.17 ^{cd}	34.10 ^{bc}	23.57 ^a	18.10 ^{ab}	23.62 ^b	25.26 ^{bc}	0.69 ^a	1755.40 ^b	10.60 ^{ac}	18.39 ^{ce}
PG 4 g × Zahidi	84.00 ^{ab}	38.67 ^{dc}	10.64 ^{bc}	36.10 ^{ac}	23.63 ^a	18.57 ^a	24.28 ^{ab}	26.10 ^{ab}	0.67 ^a	1852.04 ^{ab}	10.64 ^{ac}	19.73 ^{ce}
LSD _(5%)	7.71	9.40	1.29	5.72	2.44	2.31	1.67	1.92	0.097	239.30	1.55	6.05

Means showing a common letter in a column are non-significant statistically at 5 % Probability using Duncan Multiple Range Test.

g L⁻¹, whereas these attributes were least when pollen grains were applied @ 1 g L⁻¹, however, maximum percent seed (15.78 %) was recorded in the same treatment. Parameters such as seed weight and diameter, total soluble solids, total sugars, reducing and non-reducing sugars were statistically non-significant, however, these attributes were higher when pollen grains were applied @ 4 g L⁻¹.

The comparative analysis between two date palm cultivars indicated that cultivar Khadrawy had significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) maximum fruit weight (10.56 g), fruit length (36.49 mm), fruit geometric diameter (23.19 mm), fruit arithmetic diameter (25.21 mm), fruit surface area (1702.69 mm²), fruit volume (10.78 cc) and yield per palm (25.45 kg) as compared to cultivar Zahidi (Table 1). Other parameters such as fruit set, fruit drop, fruit width, fruit thickness and fruit sphericity were statistically non-significant. Table 2 indicate that pulp weight (9.24 g), percent pulp (87.27 %), pulp:seed ratio (7.09) and total soluble solids (61.58 %) were higher in cultivar Khadrawy compared to cultivar Zahidi. Other fruit quality characteristics such as seed weight, seed length, seed diameter, moisture content and sugar contents were non-significant statistically, however, apart from seed attributes, moisture content and sugar contents were higher in cultivar Khadrawy than cultivar Zahidi.

The interaction data of pollen grains and cultivars showed that apart from fruit sphericity, all other variable were significantly different at 5 % level of probability (Table 1). Maximum fruit set (88 %), fruit length (40.43 mm), fruit width (23.77 mm), fruit thickness (19.23 mm), fruit geometric diameter (25.58 mm), fruit arithmetic diameter (27.81 mm), fruit surface area (2055.83 mm²), fruit volume (11.94 cc) and yield per palm (28.21 kg)

were recorded when 4 g L⁻¹ pollen grain was applied on cultivar Khadrawy, whereas maximum fruit weight (12.60 g) was recorded when 3 g L⁻¹ pollen grains applied on cultivar Khadrawy. On the other hand, highest fruit drop was recorded when 1 g L⁻¹ pollen grains applied on cultivar Zahidi. The overall expression of the interaction data depicted that 4 g L⁻¹ pollen grains application to Khadrawy and Zahidi cultivars produced better results than the other treatments. The interaction data (Table 2) indicate that pulp weight (11.21 g, statistically at par with PG 4 g L⁻¹ treatment and Khadrawy *i.e.* 10.52 g), percent pulp (88.91 %), pulp:seed ratio (8.23) and total soluble solids (62.57 %) were significantly higher when 3 g L⁻¹ pollen grain applied on cultivar Khadrawy, followed by 4 g L⁻¹ pollen grain applied on cultivar Khadrawy regarding moisture content (23.57 %). Similarly, data regarding seed weight (1.49 and 1.50 g) and percent seed (17.35 %) were higher in cultivar Zahidi when pollen grains were applied @ 3, 4 and 1 g L⁻¹, respectively. Other parameters such as seed length, seed diameter, and sugar contents were statistically non-significant, however, seed length (2.43 g), total sugars (67.50 %) and reducing sugars (58.70 %) were higher when 4 g L⁻¹ pollen grain was applied on cultivar Khadrawy. The overall expression of the interaction data depicted that 4 g L⁻¹ pollen grains application to Khadrawy and Zahidi cultivars produced better results than other treatments.

Discussion

Pollination is one of the important cultural practices in the production chain of plants that influence fruit set, yield and quality (Mangena and Mokwala, 2018). Adopting a viable and sustainable pollination method that not only delivers satisfactory results of fruit development, yield and quality attributes but also

Table 2. Effects of different concentrations of pollen grains liquid application on seed weight, seed length, seed diameter, pulp weight, percent of pulp, percent of seed, pulp:seed ratio, moisture content, total soluble solids, total sugars, reducing sugars and non-reducing sugars of date palm cultivars Khadrawy and Zahidi

Treatments	Seed weight (g)	Seed length (cm)	Seed diameter (cm)	Pulp weight (g)	Percent pulp (%)	Percent seed (%)	Pulp:Seed Ratio	Moisture content (%)	Total soluble solids (%)	Total sugars (%)	Reducing sugars (%)	Non-Reducing sugars (%)
A. Pollen grain												
PG 1 g	1.30 ^a	2.15 ^b	0.91 ^a	6.95 ^b	84.22 ^b	15.78 ^a	5.55 ^b	19.77 ^b	58.10 ^a	64.58 ^a	55.48 ^a	9.10 ^a
PG 2 g	1.33 ^a	2.20 ^{ab}	0.94 ^a	7.79 ^b	85.39 ^{ab}	14.61 ^{ab}	5.93 ^{ab}	21.05 ^{ab}	58.78 ^a	64.85 ^a	56.65 ^a	8.20 ^a
PG 3 g	1.44 ^a	2.34 ^{ab}	0.95 ^a	9.95 ^a	87.11 ^a	12.89 ^b	7.03 ^a	22.12 ^a	60.50 ^a	66.55 ^a	57.72 ^a	8.83 ^a
PG 4 g	1.44 ^a	2.40 ^a	0.97 ^a	9.83 ^a	87.17 ^a	12.83 ^b	6.88 ^a	22.72 ^a	61.68 ^a	67.38 ^a	58.38 ^a	9.00 ^a
LSD (₅ %)	0.196	0.234	0.061	0.886	1.996	1.996	1.154	1.70	4.37	4.97	2.97	5.45
B. Cultivars												
Khadrawy	1.32 ^a	2.31 ^a	0.93 ^a	9.24 ^a	87.27 ^a	12.73 ^b	7.09 ^a	21.93 ^a	61.58 ^a	66.30 ^a	57.44 ^a	8.86 ^a
Zahidi	1.44 ^a	2.23 ^a	0.96 ^a	8.02 ^b	84.68 ^b	15.32 ^a	5.61 ^b	20.90 ^a	57.96 ^b	65.38 ^a	56.68 ^a	8.71 ^a
LSD (₅ %)	0.139	0.166	0.043	0.627	1.411	1.411	0.816	1.20	3.09	3.51	2.10	3.86
C. Pollen grain × Cultivars												
PG 1 g × Khadrawy	1.21 ^b	2.15 ^a	0.91 ^a	7.32 ^{de}	85.79 ^{bc}	14.21 ^{bc}	6.23 ^{bc}	20.10 ^{cd}	60.03 ^{ac}	65.27 ^a	56.00 ^a	9.27 ^a
PG 2 g × Khadrawy	1.29 ^{ab}	2.27 ^a	0.92 ^a	7.91 ^{bd}	85.95 ^{bc}	14.05 ^{bc}	6.23 ^{bc}	21.37 ^{ad}	61.20 ^{ac}	65.37 ^a	57.47 ^a	7.90 ^a
PG 3 g × Khadrawy	1.39 ^{ab}	2.37 ^a	0.94 ^a	11.21 ^a	88.91 ^a	11.09 ^d	8.23 ^a	22.67 ^{ab}	62.57 ^a	67.07 ^a	57.60 ^a	9.47 ^a
PG 4 g × Khadrawy	1.38 ^{ab}	2.43 ^a	0.95 ^a	10.52 ^a	88.42 ^{ab}	11.58 ^{cd}	7.66 ^{ab}	23.57 ^a	62.50 ^{ab}	67.50 ^a	58.70 ^a	8.80 ^a
PG 1 g × Zahidi	1.38 ^{ab}	2.15 ^a	0.92 ^a	6.59 ^c	82.65 ^d	17.35 ^a	4.86 ^c	19.43 ^d	56.17 ^c	63.90 ^a	54.97 ^a	8.93 ^a
PG 2 g × Zahidi	1.36 ^{ab}	2.13 ^a	0.95 ^a	7.68 ^{cc}	84.83 ^{cd}	15.17 ^{ab}	5.63 ^c	20.73 ^{bd}	56.37 ^{bc}	64.33 ^a	55.83 ^a	8.50 ^a
PG 3 g × Zahidi	1.49 ^a	2.30 ^a	0.96 ^a	8.68 ^{bc}	85.31 ^{cd}	14.69 ^{ab}	5.82 ^c	21.57 ^{ad}	58.43 ^{ac}	66.03 ^a	57.83 ^a	8.20 ^a
PG 4 g × Zahidi	1.50 ^a	2.36 ^a	0.99 ^a	9.14 ^b	85.92 ^{bc}	14.08 ^{bc}	6.11 ^{bc}	21.87 ^{ac}	60.87 ^{ac}	67.27 ^a	58.07 ^a	9.20 ^a
LSD (₅ %)	0.278	0.332	0.086	1.254	2.823	2.823	1.632	2.40	6.18	7.03	4.20	7.71

Means showing a common letter in a column are non-significant statistically at 5 % Probability using Duncan Multiple Range Test.

minimise the amount of pollen grain application, is essentially needed for date palm production (Awad, 2006; Awad, 2010.). The results of present study indicated that the liquid pollen spray of 4 g or 3 g L⁻¹ significantly increased fruit set, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, fruit thickness, fruit geometric diameter, fruit arithmetic diameter, fruit surface area, fruit volume, yield per palm, seed length, pulp weight, pulp:seed ratio and moisture content. However, fruit drop parameter was minimal in these two treatments as compared to others. All other parameters such as fruit sphericity, seed weight, seed diameter, percent of pulp, percent of seed, total soluble solids, total sugars, reducing sugars and non-reducing sugars were statistically non-significant (Table 1, 2). However, in another study wherein different pollen application methods were applied on date palm cv. Khalas, liquid pollination showed valuable results followed by dusting method. This displayed more or less similar results at the expense of pollen quantity, however, male strands placement method showed poor results (Munir, unpublished).

Our findings indicated that the enhancement of fruit setting was associated with increasing pollen concentrations, which negatively marked total fruit drop. These results are in agreement with that of Abu-Zahra and Shatnawi (2019) who reported that highest fruit set was observed when 4 g L⁻¹ pollen suspension was sprayed to date palm cvs. Barhee and Madjol. Similarly, Ahmed (2014) observed highest fruit set percentage in date palm cv. Saidy when it was sprayed with 5 g L⁻¹ pollen plus 5 g L⁻¹ starch suspension. In cultivar Segae, maximum number of retained fruit were recorded when pollen suspension was applied at 3 g L⁻¹ compared to 2 g L⁻¹ (Soliman *et al.*, 2017). Al-Wasfy (2014) observed highest fruit setting and retention percentage in cv. Zaghloul when 4-6 g L⁻¹ pollen suspension was applied. Similar

results were reported in date palm cvs. Lulu (Awad, 2006) and Khenazy (Awad, 2010).

Present study showed that the fruit characteristics (fruit length, width, weight, and volume) were improved at higher concentration of pollens in both date palm cultivars, which coincide with the results of Abdalla *et al.* (2011) in date palm cv. Zaghloul. Similarly, liquid application of pollen grain increased fresh fruit weight of date palm cvs. Barhee and Madjol (Abu-Zahra and Shatnawi, 2019) and cv. Segae (Soliman *et al.*, 2017). Likewise, Ahmed (2014) reported that fruit length, diameter and weight of date palm cv. Saidy were significantly improved by the liquid application of pollen grains. Al-Wasfy (2014) stated that application of pollen suspension at 6 g L⁻¹ significantly enhanced fruit length, width, weight and flesh weight.

Enhancement of date palm fruit yield is one of the most important objectives of a research. The outcome of our study indicated that with the increase in pollen grain concentration in suspension, the fruit yield increased linearly in both cultivars. Present results are in line with that of Abu-Zahra and Shatnawi (2019) who obtained highest yield in cv. Barhee when 4 g L⁻¹ pollen suspension was applied, whereas in cv. Madjol it was 3-4 g L⁻¹ treatment that gave highest fruit yield per palm. Similarly, highest yield per palm was recorded in date palm cv. Zaghloul when 4-6 g L⁻¹ pollen grains suspension was sprayed (Al-Wasfy, 2014). Ahmed (2014) obtained highest yield per bunch in date palm cv. Saidy when it was sprayed with 5 g L⁻¹ pollen plus 5 g L⁻¹ starch suspension. Similar results were reported by Soliman *et al.* (2017) in cv. Segae at 3 g L⁻¹ compared to 2 g L⁻¹ pollen grains liquid application.

Improving the fruit quality characteristics, which are important from consumers' point of view are also important in the research.

Physicochemical attributes such as the moisture content of the fruit are also important to be considered while fruit shipment. Findings of present research study revealed that both date palm cultivars had significantly higher pulp:seed ratio, moisture content and TSS when female inflorescences were sprayed with 3-4 g L⁻¹ pollen suspension. However, there was non-significant effect of any treatment on sugar content, which might be influenced by the varied plant nutrition rather than pollen concentration. Ahmed (2014) reported highest moisture content in date palm cv. Saidy when sprayed with 5 g L⁻¹ pollen plus 5 g L⁻¹ starch suspension, however, highest percentage of TSS and sugar content was estimated at lower concentrations of pollen grains suspension and starch content. Al-Wasfy (2014) reported non-significant effects of pollen grain suspension concentrations on TSS and sugar contents of date palm cv. Zaghloul, which are in line with our study. Soliman *et al.* (2017) reported similar results regarding moisture content in cv. Segae, however, TSS and sugar contents significantly increased when sprayed with 2-3 g L⁻¹ pollen grain suspension, which was contradictory to the present study. However, Mostafa (1998) suggested that the fruit thinning of date palm can be achieved by reducing concentration of pollens in suspension to promote fruit quality.

It can be concluded that the higher application of pollen grain concentration (4 g L⁻¹) significantly improved all yield and fruit quality characteristics, which was closely followed by 3 g L⁻¹ pollen grain liquid application. Similarly, date palm cultivar Khadrawy was found to be superior than Zahidi, when both cultivars were statistically analysed. However, the interaction analyses of both factors (pollen grain concentration and date palm cultivars) showed positive impact of 4 g L⁻¹ pollen suspension concentration when applied to cultivar Khadrawy, which was also closely followed by 3 g L⁻¹ application. Hence, although the application of 4 g L⁻¹ pollen suspension treatment gave the best results in both cultivars, application of 3 g L⁻¹ pollen suspension can be practiced at a minimal compromise on date palm yield and quality for both the cultivars.

References

- A.O.A.C. 2005. *Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International*. W. Horwitz and G.W. Latimer Jr (eds). 18th Edition. Maryland. USA.
- Abdalla, M.G.M., A.M. El-salhy and R.A.A. Mostafa, 2011. Effect of some pollination treatments on fruiting of Zaghloul date palm cultivar under Assiut climatic condition. *Assiut J. Agr. Sci.*, 42: 350-362.
- Abu-Zahra, T.R. and M.A. Shatnawi, 2019. New pollination technique in date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) cv. "Barhee" and "Medjol" under Jordan valley conditions. *Amer. Eur. J. Agr. Environ. Sci.*, 19(1): 37-42.
- Ahmed, E.F.S. 2014. Increasing pollination efficiency in Saidy date palms by using starch carrier along with pollens suspension. *Proceedings of the Fifth International Date Palm Conference*. Abu Dhabi, UAE, 16-18 March 2014, p. 239-246.
- Al-Wasfy, M.M.M. 2014. Yield and fruit quality of Zaghloul date palm in relation to using new technique of pollination. *Stem Cell*, 5(1): 14-17.
- Awad, M.A. 2010. Pollination of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) cv. Khenazy by pollen grain-water suspension spray. *J. Food Agr. Environ.*, 88: 313-317.
- Awad, M.A. 2006. Water spray as a potential thinning agent for date palm flowers (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) cv. Lulu. *Sci. Hort.*, 111: 44-48.
- Barnett, J., M. Seabright, H. Williams, M. Nejati, A. Scarfe, J. Bell and M. Duke, 2017. Robotic Pollination-targeting kiwifruit flowers for commercial application. *PA17 International Tri-Conference for Precision Agriculture*. Hamilton, New Zealand, 14-20 November, 2017.
- Bekheet, S.A. and M.S. Hanafy, 2011. Towards sex determination of date palm. In: *Date Palm Biotechnology*. S.M. Jain, J.M. Al-Khayri and D.V. Johnson (eds.). Springer Science+Business Media, NY, USA. p. 551-566.
- Dowson, V.H.W. 1982. Date production and protection with special reference to North Africa and the Near East. *Food and Agriculture Organization Technical Bulletin No. 35*, 294. United Nations.
- El-Dengawy, E.F.A. 2017. Improvement of the pollination technique in date palm. *J. Plant Prod.*, 8(2): 307-314.
- Haffar, I., H. Al-Juburi and M.H. Ahmed, 1997. Effect of pollination frequency and pollen concentration on yield and fruit characteristics of mechanically pollinated date palm trees (*Phoenix dactylifera* var. Khalas). *J. Agr. Eng. Res.*, 68: 11-14.
- Hajian, S. 2005. Fundamentals of pollination in date palm plantations in Iran. *Proceedings of First International Conference on Mango and Date Palm: Culture and Export*. University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan, 20-23 June, 2005.
- Higashiyama, T. and H. Takeuchi, 2015. The mechanism and key molecules involved in pollen tube guidance. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*, 66: 393-413.
- Higashiyama, T., S. Yabe, N. Sasaki, Y. Nishimura, S. Miyagishima, H. Kuroiwa and T. Kuroiwa, 2001. Pollen tube attraction by the synergid cell. *Science*, 24: 1480-1483.
- Hopping, M.E. and L.M. Simpson, 1982. Supplementary pollination of tree fruits. 3. Suspension media for kiwifruit pollen. *New Zealand J. Agr. Res.*, 25: 245-250.
- Johnson, D.V., J.M. Al-Khayri and S.M. Jain, 2013. Seedling date palms (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) as genetic resources. *Emirates J. Food Agr.*, 25(11): 809-830.
- Mangena, P. and P.W. Mokwala, 2018. Introductory Chapter: Pollination. In: *Pollination in Plants*. P.W. Mokwala (ed.). IntechOpen Limited, London, United Kingdom. p. 1-6.
- Márton, M.L., A. Fastner, S. Uebler and T. Dresselhaus, 2012. Overcoming hybridization barriers by the secretion of the maize pollen tube attractant ZmEA1 from *Arabidopsis* ovules. *Current Biol.*, 22: 1194-1198.
- Mizuno, S., K. Waki and R. Todo, 2002. Studies on the labor saving technique for the artificial pollination of peach trees (*Prunus persica*): Pollen storage and pollen spraying methods for the artificial pollination. *Bulletin of Faculty of Agriculture, Tamagawa University, Japan*, 42: 1-14.
- Moreira, S. and J.H. Gurgel, 1941. Pollen fertility and correlation with number of seeds in species and forms of the genus *Citrus*. *Brogautia San Paulo J.*, 669-711.
- Moustafa, A. 1998. Studies on fruit thinning of date palms. *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Date Palms*. United Arab Emirates University, Al-Ain, UAE. p. 354-364.
- Munir, M., Jalaluddin, A.A. Alizai and Z. Ahmad, 1992. Response of Date Palm Cultivar Dhakki to NPK fertilizers in Dera Ismail Khan. *Pak. J. Agric. Res.*, 13(4): 347-349.
- Munir, M., J.U.D. Baloch, A. Ghaffoor and A.A. Alizai, 1993. Effect of N.P.K fertilizers on the physico-chemical characteristics of Dhakki dates in D.I.Khan. *Gomal Univ. J. Res.*, 13(1): 93-100.
- Nixon, R.W. and J.B. Carpenter, 1978. Growing dates in the United States. United States Department of Agriculture, Washington DC. Bulletin No. 207.
- Okuda, S., H. Tsutsui, K. Shiina, S. Sprunck, H. Takeuchi, R. Yui, R.D. Kasahara, Y. Hamamura, A. Mizukami, D. Susaki, N. Kawano, T. Sakakibara, S. Namiki, K. Itoh, K. Otsuka, M. Matsuzaki, H. Nozaki, T. Kuroiwa, A. Nakano, M.M. Kanaoka, T. Dresselhaus, N. Sasaki and T. Higashiyama, 2009. Defensin-like polypeptide LUREs are pollen tube attractants secreted from synergid cells. *Nature*, 458: 357-361.

- Sakamoto, D., H. Hayama, A. Ito, Y. Kashimura, T. Moriguchi and Y. Nakamura, 2009. Spray pollination as a labor-saving pollination system in Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* (Burm.f.) Nakai): Development of the suspension medium. *Sci. Hort.*, 119: 280-285.
- Soliman, S.S., A.I. Alebidi, A.M. Al-Saif, R.S. Al-Obeed and A.N. Al-Bahelly, 2017. Impact of pollination by pollen-grain-water suspension spray on yield and fruit quality of Segae date palm cultivar (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.). *Pak. J. Bot.*, 49(1): 119-123.
- Takeuchi, H. and T. Higashiyama, 2016. Tip-localized receptors control pollen tube growth and LURE sensing in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature*, 531: 245-248.
- Takeuchi, H. and T.A. Higashiyama, 2012. Species-specific cluster of defensin-like genes encodes diffusible pollen tube attractants in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Biol.*, 10: e1001449.
- Yano, T., N. Miyata and H. Matsumoto, 2007. The use of liquid pollen extender thickened with polysaccharides for artificial pollination of kiwifruit. *Acta Hort.*, 753: 415-424.
- Zaid, A. and P.F. de Wet, 1999. Pollination and bunch management. In: *Date Palm Cultivation*. A. Zaid and E.J. Arias (eds). Food and Agriculture Organization Plant Production and Protection Paper No. 156: 144-174.

Received: November, 2019; Revised: December, 2019;

Accepted: December, 2019