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Abstract

Experiment was conducted in vitro to see the different physical properties and transmission of papaya ring spot virus with different aphid species. The virus was found to be inactivated between temperature 50 to 55°C and between the dilutions of 10⁻³ to 10⁻⁴. It remained viable up to 24 hours at temperature 28 to 30°C and 5 days at 6 to 8°C temperature. The virus was transmissible by five aphid species Aphis gossypii (Glover), Aphis craccivora (Koch), Acyrthosiphon pisum (Buczacki S. and Harris K.), Dactynotus carthami (Hille Ris Lambers), Aphis nerii (Boyer de Fonscolome) in non persistent manner.
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Introduction

Papaya ringspot is one of the most economically important diseases of papaya and widely prevalent in all papaya growing states in India including Maharashtra and causes severe losses in papaya cultivation. During the survey of papaya in various locations of Vidarbha region reported that papaya ringspot virus (PRSV) caused 80 to 100 per cent damage to papaya cultivar Honeydew (Lokhande et al., 1992). In Marathwada region, 79 per cent of disease incidence reported due to papaya ringspot virus (Yemewar and Mali, 1980). Papaya ringspot virus P-infection is typically characterized by the production of ringspot symptoms on fruits of infected papaya plant (Jensen, 1949). In addition to ringspot symptoms, PRSV produces a range of other symptoms such as leaf mosaic and chlorosis, water soaked oily streaks on the petiole and upper part of trunk, distortion of young leaves that sometimes results in shoestring like symptoms, stunting of infected plants and flower abortion. Consequently, fruit production can be severely decreased and fruit sugar level reduced by 50 % or more. The fruit size and quality is much affected resulting decrease in market value.

The losses caused due to papaya ringspot virus infection, can be minimized by acquiring knowledge about the vector responsible for viral disease transmission, host range of papaya ringspot virus, physical properties of virus, susceptible/ resistant papaya cultivars to PRSV. Keeping in view the significance of transmission mode of PRSV, its host range, physical properties, response of different available varieties of papaya to PRSV infection, aphid transmissibility of PRSV, the present investigation on PRSV strain prevalent in this area was carried out.

Materials and methods

Source of inoculum: The young leaves of papaya plants showing mosaic, leaf distortion, shoestring symptoms were collected from Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola.

Thermal inactivation point (TIP): Standard leaf extract was prepared in 1:1 ratio of infected leaf tissues of diseased plant to 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 containing sodium sulphite 0.5%. Aliquots of 2 mL standard leaf extract were pipetted into a set of 12 thin walled test tubes of about 1 cm diameter. Each test tube was then individually exposed to temperature starting from 40°C to 95 °C for 10 minutes in a metallic constant temperature water bath. All the treated extracts were then inoculated on the leaves of healthy young papaya plants at six leaves stage by conventional leaf rub method. Similarly, a set of 10 plants of assay host were inoculated with untreated extract which served as control. Numbers of local lesions per leaf were worked out.

Dilution end point (DEP): The standard leaf extract prepared from infected leaves of papaya was diluted in 10 fold series of dilutions viz., 1:10 (10⁻¹), 1:100 (10⁻²), 1:1000 (10⁻³), 1:10000 (10⁻⁴), 1:100000 (10⁻⁵), 1:1000000 (10⁻⁶) by adding required quantity of sterilized distilled water. A set of 5 plants were inoculated separately for each dilution treatments. Standard leaf extract without dilution served as control. Observations were recorded periodically for expression of symptoms for each dilution treatment.

Longevity in vitro (LIV): In order to know the in vitro longevity of the virus, standard leaf extract was kept at room temperature 28 to 30°C and second lot in refrigerator at 6° to 8°C temperature. The plants inoculated with extract immediately after extraction period served as control. Whereas, the sap from test tubes stored at room temperature and refrigerated condition was inoculated at a fixed period intervals i.e. 0, 4, 8, 12, 24 h and average number of local lesions were per leaf were recorded on 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 days.

Aphid transmission: Five aphid species viz., Aphis gossypii (Glover), A. craccivora (Koch), Acyrthosiphon pisum (Buczacki S. and Harris K.), Dactynotus carthami (Hille Ris Lambers) and A. nerii (Boyer de Fonscolome) were collected directly from cotton, cowpea, safﬂower and calatropis respectively. In all the transmission tests, only adult apterous aphids were used. Adult apterous aphids from each species were given a pre-acquisition fasting period of 1 hour under darkness by keeping them in sterilized petridishes. Pre-acquisition fasting period was followed by an acquisition feeding period of 5-10 minutes on young PRSV infected leaves of papaya plant (cv. Honeydew). Then the aphids were gently picked with moistened camel hair brush No. 0 and collected in another sterilized petridish and then transferred to...
the young healthy six leaves stage test plants of papaya with the help of another moistened camel hair brush.

For aphid transmission study 10 healthy seedlings of papaya were used. 10 viruliferous aphids were released on each test plant and were allowed to feed overnight and then killed by spraying 0.02 per cent dimethoate insecticide on test plants. All the test plants were maintained in an insect proof cage house and observations were recorded on their transmission ability and types of symptoms developed on the test plants.

Results and discussion

Physical Properties: The result of physical properties revealed that the virus isolate could not withstand to the temperature of 55°C and the plants inoculated with this treatment failed to produced any symptoms of the disease (Fig. 1). Above this temperature i.e. from 60 to 95°C, no symptoms were observed on test plants. This indicated that thermal inactivation point of the virus under investigation lies between 50 to 55°C temperature. The present investigation revealed that thermal inactivation point of the virus under study was found at 56°C and 52°C and the plants inoculated with this treatment failed to produced any symptoms of the disease on inoculated test plants. The results thus indicated that thermal inactivation point of papaya ringspot virus (PRSV) was found to be most efficient vector. Dethe (2000) reported that dilution end point (DEP) of all the tested strains of PRSV viz., PRSV-S, PRSV-M, PRSV-P, PRSV-Y was found to be 10^{-5} to 10^{-4}.

Papaya ringspot virus (PRSV) under investigation retained infectivity for 24 hours at room temperature (28 to 30°C) and up to 5 days at 6 to 8°C temperature. Virus lost its infectivity within 2 days at room temperature and after 5 days at 6 to 8°C temperature (Fig. 3). Dethe (2000) reported that longevity in vitro of all tested strains viz., PRSV-S, PRSV-M, PRSV-P, PRSV-Y was found up to 28 hours. The results of aphid transmission of the virus causing Papaya ringspot virus (PRSV) are depicted in Table 1. It was found that five aphid species viz., Aphis gossypii (Glover), A. craccivora (Koch), Acyrthuspisponum (Buczacki and Harris), Dactynotus carthami (Hille Ris Lambers), A. nerii (Boyer de Fonscolombe) were able to transmit the virus in non-persistent manner from papaya to papaya. Dactynotus carthami was found to be most efficient and showed (100%) transmission of PRSV followed by A. gossypii (90%), Acyrthuspisponum (90%), A. craccivora (80%) and A. nerii (70%). All test plants used in aphid transmission study showed a typical mosaic, leaf distortion, shoestring symptoms during the incubation period of 13 to 14 days. Mali (1987) reported that PRSV was transmitted by A. gossypii, A. craccivora, Acyrthuspisponum, A. nerii, D. sonchi, MelanoA. sacchari and Rhophalosipum maida whereas, Myzus persicae was most efficient vector. Dethe (2000) reported that the four strains viz., PRSV-S, PRSV-M, PRSV-P and PRSV-Y were found to be transmissible by Acyrthuspisponum, Dactynotus carthami, Myzus persicae, A. gossypii and Aulacarthum solani in non persistent manner.

References


